# An Updated Precision Estimate of the Hubble Constant and the Age and Density of the Universe in the Decaying Neutrino Theory

## D. W. Sciama

S.I.S.S.A., I.C.T.P., Strada Costiera 11, 34014 Trieste, Italy Nuclear and Astrophysics Laboratory, University of Oxford e-mail: sciama@sissa.it

### ABSTRACT

We here update the derivation of precise values for the Hubble constant  $H_0$ , the age  $t_0$  and the density parameter  $\Omega h^2$  of the universe in the decaying neutrino theory for the ionisation of the interstellar medium (Sciama 1990 a, 1993). Using recent measurements of the temperature of the cosmic microwave background, of the abundances of D, He<sup>4</sup> and Li<sup>7</sup>, and of the intergalactic hydrogen-ionising photon flux at zero red shift, we obtain for the density parameter of the universe  $\Omega h^2 = 0.300 \pm 0.003$ . Observed limits on  $H_0$  and  $t_0$  then imply that, for a zero cosmological constant,  $H_0 = 52.5 \pm 2.5$  km.  $\sec^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ ,  $t_0 = 12.7 \pm 0.7$  Gyr and  $\Omega = 1.1 \pm 0.1$ . If  $\Omega = 1$  exactly, then  $H_0 = 54.8 \pm 0.3$  km.  $\sec^{-1} \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ , and  $t_0 = 11.96 \pm 0.06$  Gyr. These precise predictions of the decaying neutrino theory are compatible with current observational estimates of these quantities.

Key words: cosmology: dark matter – distance scale

### 1 INTRODUCTION

Recent developments in attempts to measure the Hubble constant  $H_0$  and the age of the universe  $t_0$  suggest that it would be worth updating the precision values for these quantities derived (Sciama 1990 b) in the decaying neutrino theory for the ionisation of the interstellar medium (Sciama 1990a, 1993). Such updating can take advantage of recent reductions in the uncertainties of each of the quantities which enter into this derivation. These quantities are  $n_{\nu}/n_{\gamma}$ ,  $n_{\gamma}$ ,  $n_b$ and  $m_{\nu}$ , where  $n_{\nu}$  and  $m_{\nu}$  are the present cosmological number density and rest mass of the decaying neutrinos, while  $\gamma$  and b refer to cosmic microwave photons and baryons respectively. The current uncertainties in each of the first three quantities enter into the derived value of  $\Omega h^2$  at a level of less than 1 per cent, where  $\Omega$  is the density of the universe in units of the critical density and  $H_0 = 100h$  km.  $\sec^{-1}\mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$ . The same is true for  $m_{\nu}$  in the decaying neutrino theory. Accordingly in this discussion we shall work to four significant figures where necessary.

## 2 UPDATED INPUT QUANTITIES

(i)  $n_{\nu}/n_{\gamma}$ 

The standard value of this quantity is strongly influenced by the fact that in the early universe  $e^- - e^+$  pairs annihilated permanently only after neutrinos had already decoupled from the primordial heat bath. The resulting annihilation photons (or equivalently their entropy) then boosted

the photons in the heat bath but not the decoupled neutrinos. One then finds (Alpher et al 1953) that

$$n_{\nu} = \frac{3}{11} n_{\gamma}$$

for each of the three neutrino types  $\nu_e, \nu_\mu$  and  $\nu_\tau$ . The decaying neutrino must be either  $\nu_\mu$  or  $\nu_\tau$ , since  $m_{\nu_e}$  is known to be too low for decay photons from  $\nu_e$  to be able to ionise hydrogen. We now need to know how accurate is the factor 3/11. This question has been answered by a number of recent calculations which use the Boltzmann equation to take into account the incompleteness of the decoupling of the neutrinos from the heat bath when the electron pairs annihilated permanently. We shall use the detailed calculations of Hannestad and Madsen (1995) who found that, for  $\nu_\mu$  or  $\nu_\tau$ ,  $n_\nu$  is increased by 0.25 percent. We will take this correction into account, and will assume that any further correction is negligible for our purposes.

(ii) 
$$n_{\gamma}$$

We know from COBE that the cosmic microwave background has an accurately thermal spectrum; observed RMS deviations are less than 50 parts per million of the peak brightness (Fixsen et al 1996). For such a spectrum of temperature T

$$n_{\gamma} = 16\pi\zeta \left(3\right) \left(kT/hc\right)^{3} \,\,\,(1)$$

where  $\zeta(3) = 1.202$ . The most accurate value for T has

been given by Fixsen et al (1996), namely

$$T = 2.728 \pm 0.004K \ . \tag{2}$$

Thus the present uncertainty in T is only about 1/7 per cent. We therefore use (1) to calculate  $n_{\gamma}$  with T given by (2), so that  $n_{\gamma}$  has an uncertainty of about 3/7 per cent. We find that

$$n_{\gamma} = 412 \pm 2 \text{cm}^{-3}$$
.

Hence

$$\frac{3}{11}n_{\gamma} = 112.4 \pm 0.5 \text{cm}^{-3}$$
.

When we correct for the partial coupling of the neutrinos we obtain

$$n_{\nu} = 112.6 \pm 0.5 \text{cm}^{-3}$$
.

(iii) 
$$n_b$$

We use a value for  $n_b$  derived from recent measurements of the abundances of D, He<sup>4</sup> and Li<sup>7</sup>, and the theory of big bang nucleosynthesis. For D we refer to Hata et al (1997) and Songaila et al (1997) who discuss two competing derived values of  $\Omega_b h^2$ : a low value of  $(7.5 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3}$  and a high value of  $(25 \pm 5) \times 10^{-3}$ . However, as Hata et al point out, the high value is inconsistent with the value of  $\Omega_b h^2$  derived from recent measured abundances of He<sup>4</sup> and Li<sup>7</sup>, and also with the known number of neutrino types. We therefore adopt the low value of  $\Omega_b h^2 = (7.5 \pm 2.5) \times 10^{-3}$  from the deuterium measurements. For the abundance of He<sup>4</sup> we refer to Izotov et al (1997) and Olive et al (1996). From their discussion we adopt a derived value for  $\Omega_b h^2$  of  $(7 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$ from the He<sup>4</sup> measurements. Finally we consider the abundance of Li<sup>7</sup>. For a given observed abundance the theory yields two alternative possible values of  $\Omega_b h^2$ . Following the recent work of Bonifacio and Molaro (1997) we take for these two alternatives  $6.2^{+1.8}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-3}$  and  $14.6^{+2.9}_{-3.3} \times 10^{-3}$ . In order to be consistent with the D and He<sup>4</sup> values of  $\Omega_b h^2$  we adopt the value  $6.2^{+1.8}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-3}$ . When we combine the results derived from the abundances of the three isotopes, we find consistency if we adopt

$$\Omega_b h^2 = (7 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$$
.

Compared to our final value for  $\Omega h^2$  of 0.3 (see below) we note that the uncertainty in our adopted value for  $\Omega_b h^2$  contributes a relative uncertainty of only 0.3 per cent to the final result.

(iv) 
$$m_{\nu}$$

We first relate  $m_{\nu}$  to  $\Omega_{\nu}h^2$ . From general relativity we have that  $\frac{8\pi}{3}G \rho_{crit} = \mathrm{H}_0^2$ , and so

$$\rho_{crit} = 1.880 \times 10^{-29} h^2 \text{gm cm}^{-3}$$
.

We also have from (ii) that

$$\rho_{\nu} = (112.6 \pm 0.5) \, m_{\nu} \text{ mass units cm}^{-3}$$
.

Since a mass unit of 1 eV corresponds to  $1.783 \times 10^{-33}$  gm, we find that

$$m_{\nu} = (93.6 \pm 0.4) \,\Omega_{\nu} h^2 \text{ev}.$$

To determine  $m_{\nu}$  we follow Sciama (1990b) and use the observed upper limit on the extragalactic hydrogen-ionising flux F at zero red shift to derive an upper limit on the energy  $E_{\gamma}$  of a decay photon in the rest frame of the decaying neutrino. We then assume that the mass  $m_2$  of the residual neutrino in the decay is much less than  $m_{\nu}$  so that we can write  $m_{\nu} = 2E_{\gamma}$ . To keep the uncertainty resulting from this step well below 1 per cent, we explicitly assume that  $m_{\nu}/m_2 > 30$  (as would be easily satisfied, for example, in the see-saw model for neutrino masses (Yanagida 1978, Gell-Maun et al 1979)). The main change from Sciama (1990b) results from the recent establishment of a six times more stringent observational upper limit on F than we used there. Following Vogel et al (1995) we now adopt

$$F < 10^5 \text{photons cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$$

The contribution  $F_{\gamma}$  of cosmological decay photons to F is governed by the excess of  $E_{\gamma}$  over 13.6 ev, because the red shift eventually reduces the energy of a decay photon to below the ionisation potential of hydrogen. Writing

$$E_{\gamma} = 13.6 + \epsilon \text{ eV}$$
,

we have

$$F_{\gamma} = \frac{n_{\nu}}{\tau} \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{\epsilon}{13.6},$$

where  $\tau$  is the decay lifetime, which in our theory satisfies  $\tau < 3 \times 10^{23} {\rm sec}$  (Sciama 1993). We thus require that

$$\epsilon < 0.39 \text{h eV}.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  contributes a relatively small fraction to  $E_{\gamma}$ , we here insert our final value for h of 0.55 (see below) to obtain

$$\epsilon < 0.21 \text{ eV}$$
 .

Hence we can write

$$E_{\gamma} = 13.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ eV}$$
,

and

$$m_{\nu} = 27.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV}$$
,

so that

$$\Omega_{\nu}h^2 = 0.293 \pm 0.003$$
.

(v) 
$$\Omega h^2$$

To obtain  $\Omega h^2$  we combine  $\Omega_{\nu} h^2$  and  $\Omega_b h^2$  to obtain  $\Omega h^2 = 0.300 \pm 0.003$  .

Thus the density parameter of the universe  $\Omega h^2$  is determined with a precision of 1 per cent in the decaying neutrino theory.

## 3 THE DERIVATION OF $H_0$ AND $t_0$

To derive  $H_0$  and  $t_0$  from our value for  $\Omega h^2$  we proceed as follows. First we assume from the observations that

(a) 
$$h \ge 0.5$$

and

(b) 
$$t_0 \ge 12 \text{ Gyr}$$

(e.g. Chaboyer et al 1996). From (a) and  $\Omega h^2=0.3$  we derive that  $\Omega \leq 1.2$  and so (for a zero cosmological constant  $\lambda$ ) that  $t_0 \leq 13.4$  Gyr. From (b) and  $\lambda=0$  we derive that  $\Omega \geq 1$  and  $h \leq 0.55$ . Combining these results we obtain

$$H_0 = 52.5 \pm 2.5 \text{ km. sec}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$$
,

$$t_0 = 12.7 \pm 0.7 \text{ Gyr}$$
,

and

$$\Omega = 1.1 \pm 0.1$$
 .

Since  $\Omega$  is required to be so close to 1 it is tempting to assume that it is exactly 1 (or at least 1 to within the level of uncertainty to which we are working here). In that case the uncertainties in  $H_0$  and  $t_0$  are controlled by our one per cent uncertainty in  $\Omega h^2$ , and so we find that for  $\lambda = 0$ 

$$H_0 = 54.8 \pm 0.3 \text{ km. sec}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$$
,

and

$$t_0 = 11.96 \pm 0.06 \text{ Gyr}$$
,

(where 1 year is defined to be  $3.15 \times 10^7$  seconds). It is gratifying that these precise predictions for the values of  $H_0, t_0$  and  $\Omega$  from the decaying neutrino theory are compatible with current observational estimates of these quantities.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to M.U.R.S.T. for their financial support of this work.

## REFERENCES

Alpher R.A., Follin J.W., Herman R.C., 1953, Phys.Rev. 92, 1347 Bonifacio P., Molaro P., 1997, MNRAS, in press

Chaboyer P., Demarque P., Kernan P.J., Krauss L.M., 1996, Science, 271, 957

Fixsen D.J., Cheng E.S., Gales J.M., Mather J.C., Shafer R.A., Wright E.L., 1996, ApJ, 473, 576

Gell-Mann M., Ramond P., Slansky R., 1979 in Proc. Supergravity Workshop eds. van Nieuwenhuizen P., Freedman D.Z., North-Holland, Amsterdam, p 315

Hannestad S., Masden J., 1995, Phys. Rev.D, 52, 1764

Hata N., Steigman G., Bludman S., Langacker P., 1997, Phys. Rev. D,  $55,\,540$ 

Izotov Y.I., Thuan T.X., Lipovetzsky V.A., 1997, ApJS, 108,  $1\,$ 

Olive K.A., Skillman E., Steigman G., 1996, astro-ph 9611166

Sciama D.W., 1990a, ApJ, 364, 549

Sciama D.W., 1990b, Phys Rev Lett. 65, 2839

Sciama D.W., 1993, Modern Cosmology and the Dark Matter Problem (Cambridge University Press)

Songaila A., Wampler E.J., Cowie L.L., 1997, Nat, 385, 137

Vogel S.N., Weymann R., Rauch M., Hamilton T., 1995, ApJ, 441, 162

Yanagida T., 1978, Prog Theor Phys, 135, 66

This paper has been produced using the Royal Astronomical Society/Blackwell Science TEX macros.